

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**MICHAEL WILLIAMSON,  
et al.,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**vs.**

**Case No. C2-06-292  
Judge Edmund A. Sargus, Jr.  
Magistrate Judge Terence P. Kemp**

**RECOVERY LIMITED  
PARTNERSHIP,  
et al.,**

**Defendants.**

**DOCKET ADMINISTRATION ORDER**


For purposes of docket administration, the Court determines the following with respect to several of the parties' pending motions:

<b>Document No.</b>	<b>Caption</b>	<b>Disposition</b>	<b>Rationale</b>
Doc. #239	Motion for Reconsideration for 90-Day Stay Due to Medical Reasons	Denied without prejudice	Defendants may re-file the motion for consideration after resolution of the matters which are the subject of the parties' motions for damages and to enforce settlement

Doc. #246	Motion to permit sealed filing of testimony of Gilman Kirk, Jr. & W. Arthur Cullman, Jr.	Denied without prejudice	These individuals have been identified as witnesses for the hearing involving the parties' motions for damages and to enforce settlement; their testimony from February, 2006 presumably is duplicative of the their anticipated testimony for the upcoming hearing. <sup>1</sup>
Doc. #312	Motion for Protective Order	Denied as Moot	Resolved by Order of 7/31/08 (Doc. #314) and agreement of the parties
Doc. #326	Motion to Compel	Denied as Moot	Resolved by agreement of the parties on the record during telephonic status conferences
Doc. #328	Motion to Compel	Denied as Moot	Resolved by agreement of the parties on the record during telephonic status conferences

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9-25-2008  
**DATED**

  
**EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

<sup>1</sup> Defendants may obtain the deposition transcripts from Chambers. Should they so desire, Defendants may move the Court following the hearing for introduction of the 2006 deposition transcripts as evidence to support their motion.